

SUICIDE ASSESSMENT, TREATMENT, AND MANAGEMENT:
24 CORE COMPETENCIES

7/13/17

Embassy Suites
Lexington, KY
30 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state significant risk factors for increased suicide risk.	4.5
b. Participants will be able to describe how to use appropriate questions and interventions for assessing and preventing suicide.	4.5
c. Participants will be able to determine a plan of actions to be made with the client when suicide risk is assessed.	4.4
d. Participants will be able to describe the importance of peer group discussions, decisions, and consultations for preventing suicide.	4.5
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.5
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.6
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.5
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.5
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.5
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	30 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	30 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	30 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	30 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	29 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8

SUICIDE ASSESSMENT, TREATMENT, AND MANAGEMENT:
24 CORE COMPETENCIES

7/14/17

Hilton Garden Inn
Louisville, KY
21 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.2
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state significant risk factors for increased suicide risk.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe how to use appropriate questions and interventions for assessing and preventing suicide.	4.4
c. Participants will be able to determine a plan of actions to be made with the client when suicide risk is assessed.	4.5
d. Participants will be able to describe the importance of peer group discussions, decisions, and consultations for preventing suicide.	4.5
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.3
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.3
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.4
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.4
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.5
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.5
9. Maintained my interest:	4.3
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.4
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.4
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	20 – yes; 1 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	19 – yes; 2 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	20 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	20 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	19 – yes; 2 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.3
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.2
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.3

ETHICAL DECISION MAKING USING KENTUCKY LPC LAW

7/27/17

Embassy Suites

Lexington, KY

33 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.5
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe Kentucky LPC Laws and Regulations regarding counseling practices.	4.5
b. Participants will be able to describe which decision making models best suit their style as a provider.	4.5
c. Participants will be able to describe the process of ethical decision making.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to state the reasons to establish a habit of consulting with peers in the ethical decision making process.	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.5
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.5
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.5
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.5
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.5
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.5
9. Maintained my interest:	4.5
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.5
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.5
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	33 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	33 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	33 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	33 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	33 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT ON THE LIVES OF CLIENTS

7/27/17

Embassy Suites
Lexington, KY
32 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.5
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state what constitutes domestic violence.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe the characteristics of abusers and victims.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to establish a resource list for help against domestic violence.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to determine the risk factors for domestic violence.	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.5
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.6
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.6
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	31 – yes; 1 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	32 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	32 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	32 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	32 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

ETHICAL DECISION MAKING USING KENTUCKY LPC LAW

7/28/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Louisville, KY

15 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.5
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe Kentucky LPC Laws and Regulations regarding counseling practices.	4.2
b. Participants will be able to describe which decision making models best suit their style as a provider.	4.2
c. Participants will be able to describe the process of ethical decision making.	4.2
d. Participants will be able to state the reasons to establish a habit of consulting with peers in the ethical decision making process.	4.3
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.3
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.1

The Presenter:

5. Knew the subject matter:	4.5
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.5
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.5
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.5
9. Maintained my interest:	4.5
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.5
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.5

The Presentation:

a. Was relevant to my practice:	15 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	15 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	11 – yes; 4 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	15 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	15 – yes; 0 - no

Venue, Setting, etc.

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.4
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT ON THE LIVES OF CLIENTS

7/28/17

Hilton Garden Inn
Louisville, KY
13 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state what constitutes domestic violence.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe the characteristics of abusers and victims.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to establish a resource list for help against domestic violence.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to determine the risk factors for domestic violence.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	13 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	12 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	13 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	13 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	13 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.4
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7