

# **THE OPIATE EPIDEMIC: UNDERSTANDING AND MANAGING CLIENTS**

**3/27/17**

**Embassy Suites Blue Ash  
Cincinnati, Ohio  
69 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state the incidence of opiate use and addiction in the United States.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe treatment options available for opiate addicts.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe various psychotherapy methods useful with opiate addicts.	4.7
d. Participants will be able to describe the impact of opiate addiction on family and friends.	4.7
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.7
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	63 – yes; 2 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	69 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	68 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	66 – yes; 2 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	67 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

# **THE OPIATE EPIDEMIC: UNDERSTANDING AND MANAGING CLIENTS**

**3/28/17**

**Hilton Garden Inn  
Beavercreek, Ohio  
64 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state the incidence of opiate use and addiction in the United States.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe treatment options available for opiate addicts.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe various psychotherapy methods useful with opiate addicts.	4.7
d. Participants will be able to describe the impact of opiate addiction on family and friends.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.7
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	61 – yes; 3 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	62 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	61 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	62 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	62 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **THE OPIATE EPIDEMIC: UNDERSTANDING AND MANAGING CLIENTS**

**3/29/17**

**Hilton Garden Inn  
Perrysburg, Ohio  
54 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state the incidence of opiate use and addiction in the United States.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe treatment options available for opiate addicts.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe various psychotherapy methods useful with opiate addicts.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe the impact of opiate addiction on family and friends.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	51 – yes; 1 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	52 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	54 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	53 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	53 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# **THE OPIATE EPIDEMIC: UNDERSTANDING AND MANAGING CLIENTS**

**3/30/17**

**Holiday Inn Independence**

**Cleveland, Ohio**

**62 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state the incidence of opiate use and addiction in the United States.	4.5
b. Participants will be able to describe treatment options available for opiate addicts.	4.5
c. Participants will be able to describe various psychotherapy methods useful with opiate addicts.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to describe the impact of opiate addiction on family and friends.	4.4
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.6
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.6
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	57 – yes; 4 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	61 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	62 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	62 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	61 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# **THE OPIATE EPIDEMIC: UNDERSTANDING AND MANAGING CLIENTS**

**3/31/17**

**Creekside Conference Center**

**Columbus, Ohio**

**82 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state the incidence of opiate use and addiction in the United States.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe treatment options available for opiate addicts.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe various psychotherapy methods useful with opiate addicts.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe the impact of opiate addiction on family and friends.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	72 – yes; 5 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	79 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	78 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	81 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	81 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.4
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

# PROCEDURES FOR MAINTAINING ETHICAL COMPLIANCE

4/7/17

Fairfield County ADAMH Board

Lancaster, Ohio

30 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access ethical guidelines and regulations at the websites of various national associations and state boards.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to state where they can access all the HIPPA compliance documents required for management of electronic data.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe common ethical procedures for various types of professional interactions.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.9
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
9. Maintained my interest:	4.9
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	30 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	30 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	30 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	30 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	29 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

# STAGES OF CLINICAL SUPERVISION

4/7/17

Fairfield County ADAMH Board

Lancaster, Ohio

20 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe four supervisory roles.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe five supervisory skills.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to describe how to assess where their supervisee is in the process of establishment of mastery.	4.5
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.6
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	19 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	19 – yes; 0 – no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	20 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	20 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	19 – yes; 1 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

# ETHICS FOR KENTUCKY SOCIAL WORKERS

4/12/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Louisville, KY

17 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access the KAR and KRS, the NASW Code of Ethics, and other relevant materials.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe how to create an appropriate chart which will serve clients and protect them if they are investigated.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage the difference between common practices and legal restrictions on their work.	4.5
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8

## **The Presenter:**

5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8

## **The Presentation:**

a. Was relevant to my practice:	14 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	19 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	19 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	19 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	19 – yes; 0 - no

## **Venue, Setting, etc.**

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A



# **DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: LONG TERM IMPACTS**

4/12/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Louisville, KY

14 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.4
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access the KAR and KRS, the NASW Code of Ethics, and other relevant materials.	4.4
b. Participants will be able to describe how to create an appropriate chart which will serve clients and protect them if they are investigated.	4.4
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage the difference between common practices and legal restrictions on their work.	4.3
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.3
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.4

## **The Presenter:**

5. Knew the subject matter:	4.3
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.4
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.5
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.3
9. Maintained my interest:	4.4
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.4
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.5

## **The Presentation:**

a. Was relevant to my practice:	11 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	12 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	12 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	12 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	12 – yes; 0 - no

## **Venue, Setting, etc.**

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.8
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8

# ETHICS FOR KENTUCKY SOCIAL WORKERS

4/14/17

Embassy Suites

Lexington, KY

11 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	5.0
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access the KAR and KRS, the NASW Code of Ethics, and other relevant materials.	5.0
b. Participants will be able to describe how to create an appropriate chart which will serve clients and protect them if they are investigated.	5.0
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage the difference between common practices and legal restrictions on their work.	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	5.0
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9

## The Presenter:

5. Knew the subject matter:	5.0
6. Taught the subject competently:	5.0
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	5.0
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	5.0
9. Maintained my interest:	5.0
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9

## The Presentation:

a. Was relevant to my practice:	11 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	11 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	11 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	11 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	11 – yes; 0 - no

## Venue, Setting, etc.

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

# **DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: LONG TERM IMPACTS**

4/14/17

Embassy Suites

Lexington, KY

10 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	5.0
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access the KAR and KRS, the NASW Code of Ethics, and other relevant materials.	5.0
b. Participants will be able to describe how to create an appropriate chart which will serve clients and protect them if they are investigated.	5.0
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage the difference between common practices and legal restrictions on their work.	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	5.0
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9

## **The Presenter:**

5. Knew the subject matter:	5.0
6. Taught the subject competently:	5.0
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	5.0
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	5.0
9. Maintained my interest:	5.0
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9

## **The Presentation:**

a. Was relevant to my practice:	10 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	10 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	10 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	10 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	10 – yes; 0 - no

## **Venue, Setting, etc.**

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	5.0
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.9
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.9

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: AVOIDING AND MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS**

5/22/17

Embassy Suites Blue Ash  
Cincinnati, Ohio  
59 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the process of an ethics investigation.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an ethics investigation.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	57 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	58 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	58 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	58 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	57 – yes; 2 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# **CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS**

5/22/17

Embassy Suites Blue Ash

Cincinnati, Ohio

61 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
9. Maintained my interest:	4.9
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	57 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	59 – yes; 0 – no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	60 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	60 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	60 – yes; 0 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: AVOIDING AND MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS**

5/23/17

Hilton Garden Inn  
Beavercreek, Ohio  
51 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the process of an ethics investigation.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an ethics investigation.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	48 – yes; 2 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	48 – yes; 2 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	49 – yes; 2 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	49 – yes; 2 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	49 – yes; 2 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

# **CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS**

5/23/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Beavercreek, Ohio

48 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.7
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.7
9. Maintained my interest:	4.7
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	44 – yes; 2 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	45 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	47 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	47 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	45 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: AVOIDING AND MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS**

5/24/17

Hilton Garden Inn  
Perrysburg, Ohio  
36 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the process of an ethics investigation.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an ethics investigation.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	34 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	36 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	36 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	36 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	35 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7



# CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS

5/24/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Perrysburg, Ohio

34 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.7
9. Maintained my interest:	4.7
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	30 – yes; 4 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	33 – yes; 0 – no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	34 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	34 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	31 – yes; 0 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: AVOIDING AND MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS**

5/25/17

**Holiday Inn Independence  
Cleveland, Ohio  
45 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the process of an ethics investigation.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an ethics investigation.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.7
9. Maintained my interest:	4.7
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	44 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	45 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	44 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	44 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	43 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS**

**5/25/17**

**Holiday Inn Independence**

**Cleveland, Ohio**

**44 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.5
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.6
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.6
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	35 – yes; 6 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	42 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	42 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	43 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	42 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: AVOIDING AND MANAGING INVESTIGATIONS**

5/26/17

Creekside Conference Center  
Columbus, Ohio  
74 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.9
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the process of an ethics investigation.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an ethics investigation.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.9
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
9. Maintained my interest:	4.9
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	70 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	74 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	73 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	74 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	74 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS**

**5/26/17**

**Creekside Conference Center**

**Columbus, Ohio**

**70 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.7
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	59 – yes; 7 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	68 – yes; 1 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	68 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	68 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	68 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# ETHICS FOR KENTUCKY SOCIAL WORKERS

9/8/17

Embassy Suites

Lexington, KY

16 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to state where they can access the KAR and KRS, the NASW Code of Ethics, and other relevant materials.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe how to create an appropriate chart which will serve clients and protect them if they are investigated.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe how to manage the difference between common practices and legal restrictions on their work.	4.7
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7

## The Presenter:

5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8

## The Presentation:

a. Was relevant to my practice:	16 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	16 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	N/A
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	16 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	16 – yes; 0 - no

## Venue, Setting, etc.

13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

# **CULTURAL COMPETENCE: THE APPALACHIANS**

9/8/17

Embassy Suites

Lexington, KY

16 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the history of Appalachians from Europe to America.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe how they can manage service provision to Appalachian clients which are culturally sensitive.	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.7
9. Maintained my interest:	4.6
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	13 – yes; 2 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	14 – yes; 2 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	N/A
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	16 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	15 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.9

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: HOW TO AVOID AN INVESTIGATION AND MANAGE ONE IF YOU HAVE TO**

10/23/17

Cooper Creek Event Center

Cincinnati, Ohio

53 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the Medical Model and how it influences professional ethics.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe the process of an investigation and what an investigator is looking for.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an investigation.	4.9
d. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
9. Maintained my interest:	4.9
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	51 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	53 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	53 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	52 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	53 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8



**PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: HOW TO AVOID AN  
INVESTIGATION AND MANAGE ONE IF YOU HAVE TO**

**10/24/17**

**Hilton Garden Inn**

**Beavercreek, Ohio**

**45 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the Medical Model and how it influences professional ethics.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe the process of an investigation and what an investigator is looking for.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an investigation.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	44 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	45 – yes; 0 – no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	44 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	45 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	44 – yes; 0 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.7

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: HOW TO AVOID AN INVESTIGATION AND MANAGE ONE IF YOU HAVE TO**

10/25/17

Hilton Garden Inn

Perrysburg, Ohio

44 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the Medical Model and how it influences professional ethics.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe the process of an investigation and what an investigator is looking for.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an investigation.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	42 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	43 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	43 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	42 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	42 – yes; 1 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: HOW TO AVOID AN INVESTIGATION AND MANAGE ONE IF YOU HAVE TO**

10/26/17

Holiday Inn Independence

Cleveland, Ohio

50 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the Medical Model and how it influences professional ethics.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe the process of an investigation and what an investigator is looking for.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an investigation.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	49 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	50 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	50 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	50 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	49 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.4
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.2
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.4

# **PROFESSIONAL ETHICS: HOW TO AVOID AN INVESTIGATION AND MANAGE ONE IF YOU HAVE TO**

10/27/17

Creekside Conference Center

Columbus, Ohio

50 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Instruction:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe the Medical Model and how it influences professional ethics.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe the process of an investigation and what an investigator is looking for.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe methods they can employ to avoid an investigation.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe how to manage themselves should they be part of an ethics investigation.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	49 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	50 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	50 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	50 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	50 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8