

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

1/18/18

TCN Behavioral Health

Xenia, Ohio

20 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills used to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	20 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	20 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	20 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	20 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	20 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

ASAM AND MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING

1/19/18

TCN Behavioral Health

Xenia, Ohio

18 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Instruction:	Average Score:
1. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
2. Program objectives were met:	
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills used to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
The Presenter:	
5. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
6. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
7. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
8. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
9. Maintained my interest:	4.8
10. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
11. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	18 – yes; 0 - no
b. Was based on current up-to-date information:	18 – yes; 0 - no
c. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	18 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of appropriate handouts:	18 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	18 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
13. Facility was adequate for my needs:	N/A
14. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	N/A
15. Food and beverages were adequate:	N/A

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

9/10/18

**Cooper Creek Event Center
Cincinnati, Ohio
14 Respondents**

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.3
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.3
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.3
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.1
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.2
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.2
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.3
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.0
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	3.8
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.5
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.3
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.3
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.5
11. Maintained my interest:	4.5
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.5
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.5
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	14 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	13 – yes; 1 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	14 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	14 - yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	12 – yes; 2 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	13 – yes; 1 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5
18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily:	n/a

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

9/11/18

Hilton Garden Inn

Beavercreek, Ohio

22 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.5
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.6
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.5
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.5
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
11. Maintained my interest:	4.6
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	20 – yes; 2 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	20 – yes; 1 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	18 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	20 - yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	21 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	20 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6
18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily:	n/a

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

9/12/18

Hilton Garden Inn

Perrysburg, Ohio

8 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.7
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.7
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.7
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.7
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	8 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	8 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	8 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	7 - yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	7 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	7 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8
18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily:	n/a

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

9/13/18

Holiday Inn Independence

Cleveland, Ohio

22 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.5
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.5
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.6
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.7
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.5
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.9
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	22 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	22 – yes; 0 – no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	22 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	22 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	22 – yes; 0 – no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	22 – yes; 0 – no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.6
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6
18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily:	n/a

USING MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING SKILLS WITH SUBSTANCE USING YOUTH

9/14/18

Creekside Event Center

Columbus, Ohio

26 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI micro-skills and structured activities to elicit change talk with substance using youth.	4.4
b. Participants will be able to describe research that guides MI's increasing focus on recognizing, reinforcing, and evoking change.	4.5
c. Participants will be able to describe how to use MI interventions with substance using youth in a variety of settings.	4.3
d. Participants will be able to describe how to implement specific skills to invite change talk with substance using youth.	4.5
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.4
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.3
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
11. Maintained my interest:	4.6
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.7
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	25- yes; 1 - no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	26 - yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	26 - yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology - projector, power point software, etc:	25 - yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	26 - yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	24 - yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.5
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.5
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6
18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily:	n/a

FAMILY INVOLVEMENT IN THE TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS

10/29 and 10/30/18

Foundations Behavioral Health

Celina, Ohio

12 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:

Average Score:

- | | |
|--|-----|
| a. Participants will be able to describe specific obstacles and barriers to family involvement. | 4.6 |
| b. Participants will be able to demonstrate Motivational Interviewing skills to be used to increase family involvement. | 4.6 |
| c. Participants will be able to describe how and why to engage with identified patient throughout the course of recovery efforts. | 4.5 |
| d. Participants will be able to describe a variety of interventions used with family members and identified patients in SUD treatment. | 4.5 |
| 2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience | 4.7 |
| 3. Teaching methods were effective: | 4.7 |
| 4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content: | 4.7 |
| 5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development? | 4.5 |
| 6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program? | 4.5 |

The Presenter:

- | | |
|---|-----|
| 7. Knew the subject matter: | 4.7 |
| 8. Taught the subject competently: | 4.7 |
| 9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives: | 4.8 |
| 10. Presented content in an organized manner: | 4.7 |
| 11. Maintained my interest: | 4.7 |
| 12. Answered questions effectively: | 4.9 |
| 13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions: | 5.0 |

The Presentation:

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| a. Was relevant to my practice: | 10 – yes; 2 – no |
| b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level | 10 – yes; 2 – no |
| c. Was based on current up-to-date information: | 12 – yes; 0 – no |
| d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc: | 12 – yes; 0 – no |
| e. Made use of appropriate handouts: | 11 – yes; 0 – no |
| f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research: | 12 – yes; 0 – no |

Venue, Setting, etc.

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 15. Facility was adequate for my needs: | 4.7 |
| 16. Facility was comfortable and accessible: | 4.7 |
| 17. Food and beverages were adequate: | 4.6 |
| 18. If I requested accommodations for disability, my request was met satisfactorily: | n/a |