

# **ADVANCED CLINICAL SUPERVISION: Assumptions, Legal Issues, Competencies, Cultural Humility, and Self Assessment**

10/21/19

Cooper Creek Event Center

Cincinnati, Ohio

43 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Workshop Objectives:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
a. Participants will be able to describe best practices for providing clinical supervision, including the use of a written supervisory contract and thorough documentation.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to summarize the six building blocks of competent supervision, and how to provide constructive feedback to supervisees.	4.5
c. Participants will be able to conduct a self-assessment of their competencies as a supervisor.	4.4
d. Participants will be able to describe standards for identifying and addressing cultural or contextual bias within both the supervisory and the therapeutic relationship and developing “cultural humility”.	4.5
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.5
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.6
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.5
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.5
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.7
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.6
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.7
11. Maintained my interest:	4.6
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	37 – yes; 3 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	43 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	41 – yes; 2 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	41 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	43 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	43 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.6
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.4
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# ADVANCED CLINICAL SUPERVISION: Assumptions, Legal Issues, Competencies, Cultural Humility, and Self Assessment

10/22/19

Hilton Garden Inn

Beavercreek, Ohio

43 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe best practices for providing clinical supervision, including the use of a written supervisory contract and thorough documentation.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to summarize the six building blocks of competent supervision, and how to provide constructive feedback to supervisees.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to conduct a self-assessment of their competencies as a supervisor.	4.9
d. Participants will be able to describe standards for identifying and addressing cultural or contextual bias within both the supervisory and the therapeutic relationship and developing “cultural humility”.	4.9
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.9
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.7
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.9
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	42 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	42 – yes; 1 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	42 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	43 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	42 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	43 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.4
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.3
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.4

# **ADVANCED CLINICAL SUPERVISION: Assumptions, Legal Issues, Competencies, Cultural Humility, and Self Assessment**

10/23/19

Hilton Garden Inn

Perrysburg, Ohio

23 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Workshop Objectives:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
a. Participants will be able to describe best practices for providing clinical supervision, including the use of a written supervisory contract and thorough documentation.	4.7
b. Participants will be able to summarize the six building blocks of competent supervision, and how to provide constructive feedback to supervisees.	4.6
c. Participants will be able to conduct a self-assessment of their competencies as a supervisor.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to describe standards for identifying and addressing cultural or contextual bias within both the supervisory and the therapeutic relationship and developing “cultural humility”.	4.5
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.7
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.6
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.6
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.6
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.7
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.6
11. Maintained my interest:	4.7
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.6
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	23 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	23 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	22 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	21 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	22 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	22 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.3
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.1
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.2

# **ADVANCED CLINICAL SUPERVISION: Assumptions, Legal Issues, Competencies, Cultural Humility, and Self Assessment**

10/24/19

Double Tree Inn

Cleveland, Ohio

39 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Workshop Objectives:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
a. Participants will be able to describe best practices for providing clinical supervision, including the use of a written supervisory contract and thorough documentation.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to summarize the six building blocks of competent supervision, and how to provide constructive feedback to supervisees.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to conduct a self-assessment of their competencies as a supervisor.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe standards for identifying and addressing cultural or contextual bias within both the supervisory and the therapeutic relationship and developing “cultural humility”.	4.8
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.9
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.7
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.8
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.9
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.9
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	38 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	39 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	37 – yes; 1 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	38 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	38 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	38 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.6

# **ADVANCED CLINICAL SUPERVISION: Assumptions, Legal Issues, Competencies, Cultural Humility, and Self Assessment**

10/25/19

OSU Fawcett Center

Columbus, Ohio

59 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

<b>Workshop Objectives:</b>	<b>Average Score:</b>
a. Participants will be able to describe best practices for providing clinical supervision, including the use of a written supervisory contract and thorough documentation.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to summarize the six building blocks of competent supervision, and how to provide constructive feedback to supervisees.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to conduct a self-assessment of their competencies as a supervisor.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe standards for identifying and addressing cultural or contextual bias within both the supervisory and the therapeutic relationship and developing “cultural humility”.	4.8
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.7
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.7
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.8
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
11. Maintained my interest:	4.8
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	55 – yes; 2 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	59 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	56 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	56 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	56 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	56 – yes; 0 - no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.3
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.4
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.4