**Supervision of “The Office”: Lessons Learned From the Managers of Dunder Mifflin**

# **10/1/21**

# **Heisel and Associates Studio**

# **Cincinnati, Ohio**

**12 Respondents**

**On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:**

**Workshop Objectives: Average Score:**

1. **Participants will be able to list supervision concepts including the APA’s**

**Seven Domains of Supervision. 4.8**

1. **Participants will be able to name elements of effective vs. inadequate and**

**harmful supervision according to research. 4.8**

1. **Participants will be able to describe the role of supervisor as gatekeeper to**

**the profession, with implications on licensure attainment by the supervisee. 4.8**

1. **Participants will be able to state methods to build cultural humility into the**

**supervisory relationship and sensitively address the imbalance of power in**

**supervision. 4.8**

1. **Participants will be able to state ethical boundary considerations within**

**supervision. 4.8**

**2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience 4.6**

**3. Teaching methods were effective: 4.5**

**4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content: 4.5**

**5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or**

**other professional development? 4.5**

**6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program? 4.5**

**The Presenter:**

**7. Knew the subject matter: 4.7**

**8. Taught the subject competently: 4.6**

**9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives: 4.8**

**10. Presented content in an organized manner: 4.8**

**11. Maintained my interest: 4.5**

**12. Answered questions effectively: 4.4**

**13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions: 4.4**

**The Presentation:**

**a. Was relevant to my practice: 11 – yes; 1 – no**

**b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level 12 – yes; 0 - no**

**c. Was based on current up-to-date information: 12 – yes; 0 - no**

**d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc: 12 – yes; 0 - no**

**e. Made use of appropriate handouts: 11 – yes; 1 - no**

**f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research: 12 – yes; 0 - no**

**Venue, Setting, etc.**

**15. Facility was adequate for my needs: 4.5**

**16. Facility was comfortable and accessible: 4.5**

**17. Food and beverages were adequate: 4.5**

**Ethics of “The Office”: Lessons Learned From the Employees of Dunder Mifflin**

# **10/1/21**

# **Heisel and Associates Studio**

# **Cincinnati, Ohio**

**11 Respondents**

**On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:**

**Workshop Objectives: Average Score:**

1. **Participants will be able to name the ethical risks and consequences of**

**misrepresenting one’s credentials and scope. 4.7**

1. **Participants will be able to describe the current APA recognized model for**

**Emotional support animal assessments. 4.6**

1. **Participants will be able to describe the protocols for protecting confidentiality**

**within the confines of doing business, including billing and collections. 4.7**

1. **Participants will be able to the risks and where to find applicable state codes**

**regarding boundaries and multiple relationships, including sexual activity**

**with ex-clients and family members of clients. 4.7**

**2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience 4.6**

**3. Teaching methods were effective: 4.7**

**4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content: 4.6**

**5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or**

**other professional development? 4.5**

**6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program? 4.5**

**The Presenter:**

**7. Knew the subject matter: 4.7**

**8. Taught the subject competently: 4.6**

**9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives: 4.6**

**10. Presented content in an organized manner: 4.7**

**11. Maintained my interest: 4.5**

**12. Answered questions effectively: 4.5**

**13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions: 4.6**

**The Presentation:**

**a. Was relevant to my practice: 11 – yes; 0 – no**

**b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level 11 – yes; 0 - no**

**c. Was based on current up-to-date information: 11 – yes; 0 - no**

**d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc: 11 – yes; 0 - no**

**e. Made use of appropriate handouts: 11 – yes; 0 - no**

**f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research: 11 – yes; 0 - no**

**Venue, Setting, etc.**

**15. Facility was adequate for my needs: 4.5**

**16. Facility was comfortable and accessible: 4.5**

**17. Food and beverages were adequate: 4.5**