

# Advances in the Treatment of ADHD Across the Lifespan

1/27/23

Heisel and Associates Studio

Cincinnati, Ohio

11 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to list and describe the 11 Executive Functions.	4.8
b. Participants will be able to name the negative education, occupational, and social outcomes associated with untreated ADHD.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to describe the developmental challenges faced by adults and youth, as well as additional stresses, faced by ethnic sexual and religious minorities.	5.0
d. Participants will be able to assess adults with weak EF skills using observations and interviewing techniques as well as rating scales.	4.7
e. Participants will be able to describe the key components of CBT for ADHD adults, Parent Management Training, EF training for youth and adults, psychiatric medications, and lifestyle interventions.	4.9
f. Participants will be able to name school interventions found to be helpful for youth with ADHD and/or EF weaknesses.	4.9
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.8
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.6
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	5.0
8. Taught the subject competently:	5.0
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	5.0
11. Maintained my interest:	4.6
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	10 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	10 – yes; 0 – no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	10 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	10 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	10 – yes; 0 – no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	10 – yes; 0 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	5.0

**16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:**  
**17. Food and beverages were adequate:**

**5.0**  
**5.0**

# Healing and Resilience After Trauma: Adult Focus

5/5/23

Heisel and Associates Studio

Cincinnati, Ohio

13 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe the main elements of Polyvagal Theory.	4.5
b. Participants will be able to name the nine factors most predictive of a client developing PTSD after experiencing trauma.	4.8
c. Participants will be able to describe the effective components of EMDR and Cognitive Processing Therapy.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to list the effective elements of Dialectical Behavior Therapy and Fisher's Parts work.	4.8
e. Participants will be able to list tools to avoid professional burnout and compassion fatigue.	4.8
f. Participants will be able to name attitudes and behaviors that promote resilience.	4.8
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.6
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.5
<b>The Presenter:</b>	
7. Knew the subject matter:	5.0
8. Taught the subject competently:	5.0
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.8
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.8
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.9
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	5.0
<b>The Presentation:</b>	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	13 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	13 – yes; 0 – no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	12 – yes; 0 – no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	12 – yes; 0 – no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	12 – yes; 0 – no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	12 – yes; 0 – no
<b>Venue, Setting, etc.</b>	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.9
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.9
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.9