# **Domestic Violence Perpetrators: Therapeutic Interventions** 3/24/23

### Heisel and Associates Studio Cincinnati, Ohio 9 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

| Workshop Objectives:   | Average Score:  |
|--|-----------------|
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to state current statistic regarding domestic violence</li> </ul> | e. 4.9          |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to screen and identify who is a domestic violence</li> </ul>      |                 |
| perpetrator.   | 4.9             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to use a variety of evidence-based techniques to</li> </ul>       |                 |
| intervene with domestic violence perpetrators.   | 4.9             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to describe how to manage their role as a provider</li> </ul>     |                 |
| when working with domestic violence perpetrators.  | 4.9             |
| 2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience   | 5.0             |
| 3. Teaching methods were effective:  | 5.0             |
| 4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:                                   | 5.0             |
| 5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or                                 |                 |
| other professional development?  | 4.8             |
| 6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?  | 4.8             |
| The Presenter:   |                 |
| 7. Knew the subject matter:  | 5.0             |
| 8. Taught the subject competently:   | 5.0             |
| 9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:  | 5.0             |
| 10. Presented content in an organized manner:  | 5.0             |
| 11. Maintained my interest:  | 5.0             |
| 12. Answered questions effectively:  | 5.0             |
| 13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:  | 5.0             |
| The Presentation:  |                 |
| a. Was relevant to my practice:  | 9 - yes; 0 - no |
| b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level                                   | 9 - yes; 0 - no |
| c. Was based on current up-to-date information:  | 9 - yes; 0 - no |
| d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:                                    | 9 - yes; 0 - no |
| e. Made use of appropriate handouts:   | 9 - yes; 0 - no |
| f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:  | 7 – yes; 0 - no |
| Venue, Setting, etc.   |                 |
| 15. Facility was adequate for my needs:  | 4.9             |
| 16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:   | 4.9             |
| 17. Food and beverages were adequate:  | 4.9             |

# <u>De-Escalation: How to Manage Difficult Client Circumstances</u> 3/24/23

### Heisel and Associates Studio Cincinnati, Ohio 8 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

| Workshop Objectives:  | <b>Average Score:</b> |
|---|-----------------------|
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to describe the signs that de-escalation might be</li> </ul> |                       |
| necessary.  | 5.0                   |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to state tips for how to de-escalate.</li> </ul>             | 5.0                   |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to describe how to respond when de-escalation isn</li> </ul> |                       |
| working.  | 5.0                   |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to monitor their own behavior which might escala</li> </ul>  | te                    |
| clients.  | 5.0                   |
| 2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience  | 5.0                   |
| 3. Teaching methods were effective:   | 5.0                   |
| 4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:                              | 5.0                   |
| 5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or                            |                       |
| other professional development?   | 4.9                   |
| 6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?                                       | 4.9                   |
| The Presenter:  |                       |
| 7. Knew the subject matter:   | 5.0                   |
| 8. Taught the subject competently:  | 5.0                   |
| 9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:   | 5.0                   |
| 10. Presented content in an organized manner:   | 5.0                   |
| 11. Maintained my interest:   | 5.0                   |
| 12. Answered questions effectively:   | 5.0                   |
| 13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:   | 5.0                   |
| The Presentation:   |                       |
| a. Was relevant to my practice:   | 8 - yes; 0 - no       |
| b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level                              | 8 – yes; 0 - no       |
| c. Was based on current up-to-date information:   | 8 – yes; 0 - no       |
| d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:                               | 8 – yes; 0 - no       |
| e. Made use of appropriate handouts:  | 8 – yes; 0 - no       |
| f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:   | 8 – yes; 0 - no       |
| Venue, Setting, etc.  |                       |
| 15. Facility was adequate for my needs:   | 5.0                   |
| 16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:  | 4.9                   |
| 17. Food and beverages were adequate:   | 5.0                   |

### **Dialectical Behavior Therapy: Managing Strong Emotions**

6/16/23

#### Heisel and Associates Studio Cincinnati, Ohio 7 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

| Workshop Objectives:  | Average Score:  |
|---|-----------------|
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to describe Dialectical Behavior Therapy and its</li> </ul>      |                 |
| purpose.  | 4.8             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to describe how Dialectical Behavior Therapy can</li> </ul>      | -               |
| clients experiencing emotional dysregulation.   | 5.0             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to teach mindfulness skills to clients.</li> </ul>               | 4.7             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to teach distress tolerance skills to clients.</li> </ul>        | 4.6             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to assist clients with emotional regulation.</li> </ul>          | 4.7             |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to helps clients manage their interpersonal effective</li> </ul> | eness. 4.7      |
| <ul> <li>Participants will be able to assign in-between session work to their clients.</li> </ul>   | 4.6             |
| 2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience  | 4.8             |
| 3. Teaching methods were effective:   | 4.6             |
| 4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:                                  | 4.3             |
| 5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or                                |                 |
| other professional development?   | 4.7             |
| 6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?   | 4.3             |
| The Presenter:  |                 |
| 7. Knew the subject matter:   | 5.0             |
| 8. Taught the subject competently:  | 5.0             |
| 9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:   | 4.8             |
| 10. Presented content in an organized manner:   | 4.6             |
| 11. Maintained my interest:   | 4.8             |
| 12. Answered questions effectively:   | 4.4             |
| 13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:   | 4.7             |
| The Presentation:   |                 |
| a. Was relevant to my practice:   | 7 - yes; 0 - no |
| b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level                                  | 7 - yes; 0 - no |
| c. Was based on current up-to-date information:   | 7 - yes; 0 - no |
| d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:                                   | 7 - yes; 0 - no |
| e. Made use of appropriate handouts:  | 6 – yes; 1 - no |
| f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:   | 7 – yes; 0 - no |
| Venue, Setting, etc.  |                 |
| 15. Facility was adequate for my needs:   | 4.7             |
| 16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:  | 4.7             |
| 17. Food and beverages were adequate:   | 4.8             |