

Ethics in the Electronic Age

4/21/23

Heisel and Associates Studio

Cincinnati, Ohio

15 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe the implications of boundary violations related to the use of social media.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to state the risks of confidentiality breaches in both personal and professional use of electronic platforms.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to name the ethical, legal, and employment risks of unprofessional conduct online.	4.9
d. Participants will be able to describe the pros, cons, challenges and benefits surrounding the provision of electronic service delivery.	4.8
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.8
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.8
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.8
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.8
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.6
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
11. Maintained my interest:	4.9
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.6
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.7
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	15 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	15 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	15 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	14 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	14 – yes; 0 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	14 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.8

Supervision for the Stages

7/14/23

Heisel and Associates Studio

Cincinnati, Ohio

14 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to name general supervisory principles.	4.6
b. Participants will be able to describe the various phases of professional development and the developmental tasks of each phase, from novice student to senior professional.	4.7
c. Participants will be able to describe ways to adapt supervision based on supervisee phase of development in order to promote supervisee growth and professional effectiveness.	4.6
d. Participants will be able to describe intervention strategies to assist supervisees who are experiencing disengagement or stagnation.	4.7
e. Participants will be able to describe the role of the supervisor in licensure gatekeeping.	4.6
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.6
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.7
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.7
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.6
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.2
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.8
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.7
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.6
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.8
11. Maintained my interest:	4.6
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.3
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.4
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	13 – yes; 1 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	14 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	12 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	13 – yes; 0 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	12 – yes; 1 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	13 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.7
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.7
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	4.5

The Top 10 Ethics Violations

7/14/23

Heisel and Associates Studio

Cincinnati, Ohio

18 Respondents

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being strongly agree and 1 being strongly disagree, how would you rate the following:

Workshop Objectives:	Average Score:
a. Participants will be able to describe revisions and updates made to dome ethical standards in the last decade.	4.9
b. Participants will be able to describe ethical dilemmas that can lead to the most common ethical violations made be professionals.	4.9
c. Participants will be able to state where they can find guidelines, regulations and procedures that apply to the most common ethical violations.	4.8
d. Participants will be able to describe options in meeting duty to protect guidelines.	4.9
e. Participants will be able to describe how to minimize risk of malpractice.	4.9
2. Overall this workshop was a positive experience	4.9
3. Teaching methods were effective:	4.9
4. Visual aids, handouts and oral presentations clarified content:	4.9
5. How useful was the content of the CE program for your practice or other professional development?	4.8
6. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	4.7
The Presenter:	
7. Knew the subject matter:	4.9
8. Taught the subject competently:	4.9
9. Elaborated upon the stated objectives:	4.9
10. Presented content in an organized manner:	4.9
11. Maintained my interest:	4.9
12. Answered questions effectively:	4.8
13. Was responsive to questions, comments and opinions:	4.8
The Presentation:	
a. Was relevant to my practice:	18 – yes; 0 – no
b. Was appropriate to my education, experience, or licensure level	18 – yes; 0 - no
c. Was based on current up-to-date information:	18 – yes; 0 - no
d. Made use of technology – projector, power point software, etc:	17 – yes; 1 - no
e. Made use of appropriate handouts:	17 – yes; 1 - no
f. Made use of evidenced based materials or research:	18 – yes; 0 - no
Venue, Setting, etc.	
15. Facility was adequate for my needs:	4.8
16. Facility was comfortable and accessible:	4.8
17. Food and beverages were adequate:	5.0